

UNCLASSIFIED//

R

DTG: 7/26/2006 7:23:00 PM

FM: CDR USJFCOM JWFC SUFFOLK VA//JW100//

TO: AIG 7029

SUBJ/REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK FOR JP 3-40, JOINT DOCTRINE FOR COMBATING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION (SUSPENSE 20 OCT 06)//

REF/A/DOC/ CJCSI 5120.02/30 NOV 04/ REF/B/ [HTTP://WWW.DTIC.MIL/DOCTRINE](http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine) OR SIPRNET [HTTP://NMCC20A.NMCC.SMIL.MIL/DJ0J7EAO/DOCTRINE](http://nmcc20a.nmcc.smil.mil/dj0j7eao/doctrine) (ALL LOWER CASE)/ REF/C/PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT APPROVAL LETTER/JS J-7/DATED 8 JUNE 2006//

NARR/REF A PROVIDES POLICY FOR DEVELOPMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF JOINT PUBLICATIONS (JPS). REF B IS THE WEBSITE ADDRESS FOR THE JOINT ELECTRONIC LIBRARY (JEL) WHERE THIS JP MAY BE DOWNLOADED. REF C IS THE JS J-7 APPROVAL FOR AN EARLY FORMAL ASSESSMENT OF JP 3-40.//

POC/BOB/BRODEL/GS-14/JOINT DOCTRINE GROUP, USJFCOM JWFC/SUFFOLK, VA/DSN 668-6186/COMM (757) 203-6186/FAX 6198/OR/JAY/HEINTZE/MR/DOCTRINE SUPPORT TEAM, USJFCOM JWFC/SUFFOLK, VA/DSN 668-6135/COMM (757) 203-6135/FAX-6199//

RMKS/1. IN ACCORDANCE WITH REF A, THE JP MAINTENANCE SYSTEM REQUIRES ALL JPS BE ACTIVELY AND CONTINUOUSLY ASSESSED. BASED UPON A USJFCOM JWFC ANALYSIS OF PERTINENT INFORMATION RELEVANT TO JP 3-40, THE JOINT STAFF J-7 APPROVED AN EARLY FORMAL ASSESSMENT (REF C). THE LEAD AGENT FOR JP 3-40 WAS THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, BUT THE UNITED STATES STRATEGIC COMMAND WILL BE THE NEW LEAD AGENT.

2. THE JP 3-40 SCOPE IS AS FOLLOWS: (QUOTE) THIS PUBLICATION SETS FORTH THE PRINCIPLES TO PLAN FOR AND CONDUCT OPERATIONS FOR COMBATING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION (WMD) AND THEIR MEANS OF DELIVERY. THIS PUBLICATION ADDRESSES JOINT MILITARY ACTIONS TO ELIMINATE THE THREAT OF WMD AND THEIR MEANS OF DELIVERY TO THE UNITED STATES, ITS FORCES, AND OUR ALLIES. IT PROVIDES GUIDANCE ON JOINT AND MULTINATIONAL OPERATIONS AND INTERAGENCY COORDINATION. THIS PUBLICATION COMPLEMENTS JOINT DOCTRINE PROMULGATED IN JOINT PUBLICATION 3-11, JOINT DOCTRINE FOR OPERATIONS IN NUCLEAR, BIOLOGICAL, AND CHEMICAL (NBC) ENVIRONMENTS. (UNQUOTE)

3. THE USJFCOM JWFC ANALYSIS INCLUDED A REVIEW OF APPLICABLE JPS, THE JEL, THE JOINT AFTER-ACTION REPORTING SYSTEM, JOINT CENTER FOR OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS AND OTHER APPLICABLE DATABASES; INTERVIEWS/DISCUSSIONS WITH ORGANIZATIONS TO INCLUDE THE LEAD AGENT AND JOINT STAFF DOCTRINE SPONSOR; AND TRIP REPORTS/OBSERVATIONS OF THE APPLICATION OF THE DOCTRINE DURING EXERCISES. THIS REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK MESSAGE REQUESTS YOUR WRITTEN

OR MESSAGE RESPONSE NOT LATER THAN 20 OCT 06 TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ON JP 3-40. SEND RESPONSES TO CDR USJFCOM NORFOLK VA/JW100/ WITH INFO TO AIG 7029 OR E-MAIL (ALL LOWER CASE):
ROBERT.BRODEL@JFCOM.MIL OR SIPRNET, JW105@HQ.JFCOM.SMIL.MIL AND
WILLIAM.HEINTZE@JFCOM.MIL OR SIPRNET, JW2104@HQ.JFCOM.SMIL.MIL

A. GENERAL QUESTIONS: USE THE JOINT PUBLICATION COMMENT MATRIX (REF A, PAGES C-E-1 THROUGH C-E-5) FOR ALL RESPONSES. USE THE LINE-OUT/LINE-IN (LO/LI) FORMAT (STRIKETHROUGH AND UNDERLINE FEATURES, DO NOT USE TRACK CHANGES) FOR ALL COMMENTS THAT RECOMMEND CHANGES TO TEXT IN THE JP. REQUEST ALL RESPONSES BE CATEGORIZED AS CRITICAL, MAJOR, SUBSTANTIVE, OR ADMINISTRATIVE (REF A, PAGES C-11 TO C-12).

- (1) SHOULD THE SCOPE BE MODIFIED? IF SO, HOW (BY LO/LI) AND WHY?
- (2) IS COMBATING WMD ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED AND IS THE INFORMATION/GUIDANCE IN JP 3-40 ACCURATE AND USEFUL?
- (3) HOW CAN THIS JP BE BETTER ORGANIZED TO ENHANCE READABILITY AND COMPREHENSION?
- (4) WHAT ARE THE STRENGTHS OF THIS JP?
- (5) WHAT ARE THE WEAKNESSES OF THIS JP?
- (6) WHAT PORTIONS REQUIRE UPDATING/MODIFYING TO ENSURE CONSISTENCY WITH APPROVED DOCTRINE PUBLISHED SINCE 8 JUL 04?
- (7) WHAT SECTIONS OF THIS JP ARE REDUNDANT AND SHOULD BE DELETED OR CONSOLIDATED INTO OTHER JPS? IF SO, WHICH JPS?
- (8) SHOULD ANY GRAPHICS, FIGURES, OR TABLES FOR THIS JP BE ADDED, DELETED OR MODIFIED? IF SO, HOW AND WHY?
- (9) SHOULD ANY REFERENCES, EITHER IN THE TEXT OR IN THE APPENDICES BE ADDED, UPDATED, OR DELETED? IF SO, WHICH ONES (BY LO/LI) AND WHY?
- (10) ARE THE ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS IN THE GLOSSARY COMPLETE AND ACCURATE? IF NOT, WHAT NEEDS TO BE ADDED, DELETED, AND/OR CORRECTED (BY LO/LI)?

B. TOPIC-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: USE THE JOINT PUBLICATION COMMENT MATRIX (REF A, PAGES C-E-1 TO C-E-5) FOR ALL RESPONSES. USE THE LO/LI FORMAT (STRIKETHROUGH AND UNDERLINE FEATURE, DO NOT USE TRACK CHANGES), FOR ALL COMMENTS THAT RECOMMEND CHANGES TO TEXT IN THE JP. REQUEST ALL RESPONSES BE CATEGORIZED AS CRITICAL, MAJOR, SUBSTANTIVE, OR ADMINISTRATIVE (REF A, PAGES C-11 TO C-12).

- (1) IS THE DEFINITION FOR WMD IN JP 1-02 CURRENT, ADEQUATE? IF NOT, WHAT SHOULD IT BE (BY LO/LI), AND WHY?

(2) AS DEFINED, WMD CONSEQUENCE MANAGEMENT (CM) CAN ONLY BE REFERENCED IN JP 3-40 AND ONLY IN THE CONTEXT OF THAT PUBLICATION; SHOULD THAT BE CHANGED AND CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, NUCLEAR, AND HIGH-YIELD EXPLOSIVES (CBRNE) CM BE LINKED TO WMD CM SO AS NOT TO LEAVE IT ISOLATED AS A SEPARATE SET OF RELATED ACTIVITIES?

(3) KNOWING THE NATIONAL AND MILITARY STRATEGIES TO COMBAT WMD ARE BASED ON THE THREE PILLARS OF NONPROLIFERATION (NP), COUNTERPROLIFERATION (CP), AND WMD CM, FOR DOCTRINAL PURPOSES SHOULD THE DETAILED DISCUSSION OF WMD CM BE BETTER PLACED IN JP 3-41, CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, NUCLEAR, AND HIGH-YIELD EXPLOSIVES CONSEQUENCE MANAGEMENT, AND ONLY EXPANDED AS REQUIRED IN JP 3-40? PLEASE EXPLAIN.

(4) DOES JP 3-40 PROVIDE SUITABLE GUIDANCE FOR A JOINT FORCE COMMANDER (JFC) (EITHER A COMBATANT COMMANDER OR A SUBORDINATE JFC) TO CONDUCT THOSE SPECIFIC MISSIONS TO COMBAT WMD DESCRIBED IN THE NATIONAL MILITARY STRATEGY TO COMBAT WMD? IF NOT, PLEASE EXPLAIN.

(5) BECAUSE COMBATING WMD IS A UNIQUE, HIGH PRIORITY MISSION/OPERATION FOR A JFC, SHOULD JP 3-40 INCLUDE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT COMMAND RELATIONSHIPS, CAPABILITIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS, ETC.? PLEASE EXPLAIN.

(6) IS THERE SUFFICIENT GUIDANCE IN JP 3-40 FOR THE UNIFIED ACTION EXPECTED TO COMBAT WMD (PRIMARILY NP AND CP)? PLEASE EXPLAIN.

(7) SHOULD THE ACTIVITIES/TASKS FOR WMD CM IN JP 3-40 BE PRESENTED DIFFERENTLY THAN THOSE FOR CBRNE CM IN JP 3-41? PLEASE EXPLAIN.

(8) IS THERE A JOINT DOCTRINAL VOID REGARDING SENSITIVE SITE EXPLOITATION THAT SHOULD BE DISCUSSED IN JP 3-40? PLEASE EXPLAIN.

(9) DOES JP 3-40 ADEQUATELY DISCUSS INTEGRATION OF MULTINATIONAL FORCES IN COMBATING WMD? PLEASE EXPLAIN.

4. IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE CONSIDERATIONS, REF A REQUIRES AN ASSESSMENT OF THE NEED FOR URGENT CHANGES OR ACCELERATED REVISIONS. URGENT CHANGES REQUIRE IMMEDIATE PROMULGATION TO PREVENT A HAZARD TO PERSONNEL, DAMAGE TO EQUIPMENT, CORRECT AN OPERATING TECHNIQUE, OR EMPHASIZE A LIMITATION THAT ADVERSELY AFFECTS COMBAT EFFECTIVENESS. ARE THERE ANY URGENT CHANGES REQUIRED OR IS THERE A NEED FOR AN ACCELERATED REVISION? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN.

5. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

6. REQUEST ADDRESSEES **ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS MESSAGE** (E-MAIL PREFERRED) WITHIN 96 HOURS OF THE DATE-TIME GROUP BY CONTACTING MR. JAY HEINTZE OR MR. BOB BRODEL AND IDENTIFY THE POC FOR YOUR ORGANIZATION, INCLUDING E-MAIL ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER.

7. WE SINCERELY APPRECIATE YOUR EFFORTS IN DEVELOPING A THOROUGH RESPONSE BECAUSE YOUR INPUTS ARE ONE OF THE PRIMARY MEANS WE USE TO ASSESS AND REVISE JOINT PUBLICATIONS. JOINT WARFARE IS TEAM WARFARE.//